Exhibit 1

		1
1		
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
4	Case No. 12-12020-mg	
5	x	
6	In the Matter of:	
7		
8	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,	
9		
10	Debtors.	
11		
12	x	
13		
14	United States Bankruptcy Court	
15	One Bowling Green	
16	New York, New York	
17		
18	March 1, 2013	
19	10:04 AM	
20		
21	BEFORE:	
22	HON. MARTIN GLENN	
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
24		
25		

```
2
 1
 2
    Status Conference RE: Debtors' Second Supplemental Motion
 3
    Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019 for Approval of RMBS Trust
 4
    Settlement Agreements [Docket No. 1887]
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
    Transcribed by: Penina Wolicki
21
    eScribers, LLC
22
     700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607
23
    New York, NY 10040
24
     (973)406-2250
25
    operations@escribers.net
```

			3	
1				
2	A P P	APPEARANCES:		
3	MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP			
4		Attorneys for Debtors		
5		755 Page Mill Road		
6		Palo Alto, CA 94306		
7				
8	BY:	DARRYL P. RAINS, ESQ.		
9				
10				
11	KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP			
12		Attorneys for Official Creditors' Committee		
13		1177 Avenue of the Americas		
14		New York, NY 10036		
15				
16	BY:	PHILIP S. KAUFMAN, ESQ.		
17		PHILIP BENTLEY, ESQ.		
18				
19				
20	CADWA	LADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT, LLP		
21		Attorneys for MBIA Insurance Co.		
22		One World Financial Center		
23		New York, NY 10281		
24				
25	BY:	JONATHAN HOFF, ESQ.		

		4
1		
2	DECHE	RT LLP
3		Attorneys for Bank of New York Mellon
4		1095 Avenue of the Americas
5		New York, NY 10036
6		
7	BY:	GLENN E. SIEGEL, ESQ.
8		
9		
10	JONES	DAY
11		Attorneys for Financial Guaranty Insurance Co.
12		222 East 41st Street
13		New York, NY 10017
14		
15	BY:	HOWARD F. SIDMAN, ESQ.
16		
17		
18	PROSK	AUER ROSE LLP
19		Attorneys for Assured Guaranty
20		Eleven Times Square
21		New York, NY 10036
22		
23	BY:	IRENA M. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
24		
25		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

directors. They're both directors, and we learned things about the plaintiffs' -- or the objectors' position in their objections, which we got in December, which they submitted after discovery was done and after we had designated our witnesses. No we know more about their objections, we'd like to add two directors to our list. THE COURT: When did you advise them that you wanted to add the two directors to the list? MR. RAINS: Two or three weeks ago. I'm not sure, but --What we've done, Your Honor, is one was already deposed. We think there's no prejudice there. On the other one, we've offered her deposition. We haven't been able to get over that hurdle yet. THE COURT: Mr. Kaufman? There is nothing for these witnesses to MR. KAUFMAN: rebut, Your Honor. The fact that we made arguments based on the discovery we took in our objections is not evidence. These witnesses were designated with descriptions of proposed testimony that is word-for-word identical to the descriptions given for the witnesses designated as direct witnesses --THE COURT: You're saying --MR. KAUFMAN: -- at the beginning.

THE COURT: -- it's cumulative of -- the proposed --

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

	35
1	MR. KAUFMAN: Completely.
2	THE COURT: testimony would be cumulative.
3	MR. KAUFMAN: There's nothing to rebut. They're also
4	way late in designating witnesses.
5	THE COURT: Are you saying
6	MR. KAUFMAN: The fact that we
7	THE COURT: Stop.
8	MR. KAUFMAN: I'm sorry.
9	THE COURT: Stop. Are you saying that the description
10	they've given you of the proposed testimony is cumulative of
11	the testimony of other directors that they're offering in their
12	case-in-chief?
13	MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. The one other point in response
14	to what Mr. Rains said. Yes, we did take the deposition of Mr.
15	Whitlinger. We did that with the expectation that he was not a
16	listed witness. We did take his deposition. Because he was
17	not listed as a witness who would be testifying at trial, we
18	used a very small amount of time to depose him. It was not the
19	same thing as if we had expected him to
20	THE COURT: Well, you might want to use part of the
21	deposition a designation of the deposition as part of your
22	case. I don't know. That's
23	MR. KAUFMAN: We
24	THE COURT: I didn't stop I didn't prevent you from
25	taking depositions in the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, we took seriously the deadlines that were in the scheduling order for designating fact witnesses. These new so-called rebuttal witnesses were designated a couple of weeks ago. Neither of them is giving rebuttal testimony. And we think that they shouldn't be testifying. THE COURT: Well, I don't have a record on which to decide this issue now. Mr. Rains, if the testimony is cumulative, if you've addressed the issue of due care as part of your case-in-chief, and certainly the briefs that I got on the preclusion motion specifically, in part, address issues about due care and -- et cetera, the chances of me allowing you to offer that evidence on rebuttal is pretty small. But I'm not going to rule on it now. Mr. Kaufman, take the deposition. I'm not -- you know, it's the only additional discovery I'm going to permit. If I wind up letting -- what I don't want to do is get to the

date for motions in limine and say all right, I'm going to permit it. And then you're going to say, well, I need the deposition. Take the deposition.

Okay, Your Honor. Before we do that, MR. KAUFMAN: however, we would like to see Ms. West's documents, which have not been produced and --

THE COURT: Oh, really?

MR. KAUFMAN: Oh, really. Mr. -- the debtors -- when

		44
1		
2	CERTIFICATION	
3		
4	I, Penina Wolicki, certify that the foregoing transcript is a	
5	true and accurate record of the proceedings.	
6		
7		
8	Penina Waiely	
9	, and a serience	
11		
12	PENINA WOLICKI	
13	AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D-569	
14		
15	eScribers	
16	700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040	
17	New TOIR, NI 10040	
18	Date: March 4, 2013	
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
25		